IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION.

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4863 OF 2005

IN

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4862 OF 2005

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4850 OF 2005

IN

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4849 OF 2005

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4852 OF 2005

IN

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4851 OF 2005

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4854 OF 2005

IN

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4853 OF 2005

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4856 OF 2005

IN

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4855 OF 2005

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4858 OF 2005

IN

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4857 OF 2005

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.5008 OF 2005

IN

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.5007 OF 2005

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.5010 OF 2005

IN

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.5009 OF 2005

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.5025 OF 2005

IN

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.5024 OF 2005

The State of Maharashtra : Applicant.

versus

Vinod Moreshwar Vaidya and anr. : Respondents.

Mr.S.R.Borulkar, PP, with Mr.D.S.Mhaispurkar, APP, for the State.

Mr.M.K.Kocharekar for Respondent No.1.

Mr.Jagdish Choudhary h/f Mr.S.P.Kadam for Respondent No.2.

Miss S.M.Kelkar for Respondent No.3.

CORAM : D.G.DESHPANDE

S.A.BOBDE, JJ.

DATED : JUNE 12, 2006.

COMMON P.C.

1. Heard learned APP for the State and advocates for Respondent No.1, Respondent No.2 and Respondent No.3 in all the matters. These are the applications for condonation of delay in filing the appeals. Initially, the applications in all the matters, were opposed by the advocates for the respondents. However, the learned APP pointed out that the impugned order was an order in respect of 14 to 15 matters. The State had filed combined appeal and when office took objection, they were required to file 14 to 15 appeals separately. That has caused delay and when this fact was brought to notice of the advocates for the Respondents, they diluted their opposition, and therefore, considering the fact and circumstances, and the explanation given, the delay is condoned in all the matters as has been done by us in Criminal Application No.4861 of 2005 arising out of the same judgment and order. Delay in filing the appeals in all the matters is condoned. Rule in all the matters is made absolute.

[D.G.DESHPANDE,J]

[S.A.BOBDE, J]